

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,131	11/25/2003	Masaya Asaine	245779US0	4407
22850	0 7590 04/14/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			MOORE, MARGARET G	
1940 DUKE ALEXANDI	STREET RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	·	1712	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/720,131	ASAINE, MASAYA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Margaret G. Moore	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	,— , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 to 4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1 to 4 is/are rejected.	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 to 4</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
2) \( \subseteq \text{ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)} \) 3) \( \subseteq \text{ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)} \) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/720,131

Art Unit: 1712

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 to 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamada et al.

Yamada et al. teach heat conductive silicone compositions. See components (A), (C), (D) and (E) on column 2 which correspond to claimed components (a), (c), (b) and (e), respectively. The amounts of (A) and (E) correspond to the amounts of (a) and (d) claimed. The Examiner notes that the presence of the compound (B) is embraced by the claims due to the language "comprising".

Particular attention is drawn to the examples and Table 2. In these examples the total number of Si-H groups in (B) and (C) is used to determine the ratio of Si-H groups to Si-Vi groups. However Table 2 also shows the number of Si-H groups from (B) compared to the number of Si-H groups from (C) and from this one can deter-mine that the total number of Si-H groups from (C) is sufficient to meet the .1 to 5 moles of Si-H group requirement claimed. For instance in Example 6, there is a 4:1 ratio of Si-H groups from (C) to Si-H groups from (B). This means that 4/5 of all Si-H groups come from (C). Thus of the 1.4 Si-H groups per Si-Vi groups, 1.12 Si-H groups from (C) are present for each Si-Vi group. This falls within the breadth of the range claimed for component (c). As such this example meets instant claim 1.

The thermally conductive fillers used in the examples are Al powder and ZnO powder, meeting claim 2. Column 7, lines 25 and on, teaches forming a sheet from the silicone composition and applying it to a heat dissipator, meeting instant claims 3 and 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,131

Art Unit: 1712

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-1090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday, 10am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Margaret G. Moore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

mgm 4/13/05